

Centers associated with the Borel subalgebra of certain simple Lie algebras*

Oz Ben-Shimol

Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University,
Ramat-Gan, Israel

October 10, 2014

Abstract

We continue the study in Ben-Shimol [1],[2] and consider a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} and its nil radical \mathfrak{n} of the simple Lie algebras of types G_2 , F_4 , C_n over arbitrary field. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \{\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{b}\}$. We establish here explicit realizations of the center $Z(\mathcal{L})$ and semi-center $Sz(\mathcal{L})$ of the enveloping algebra, the Poisson center $S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$ and Poisson semi-center $S(\mathcal{L})_{\text{si}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ of the symmetric algebra. We describe their structure as commutative rings and establish isomorphisms $Z(\mathcal{L}) \cong S(\mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{L}}$, $Sz(\mathcal{L}) \cong S(\mathcal{L})_{\text{si}}^{\mathcal{L}}$.

1. The G_2 case

An explicit basis of a particular Lie algebra of type G_2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, realized as a set of 7×7 matrices, can be found in [7, pp. 103-106]. From this basis we take a part which forms a basis of a Borel subalgebra: $h_1 = e_{22} - e_{33} - e_{55} + e_{66}$, $h_2 = e_{33} - e_{44} - e_{66} + e_{77}$, $x_1 = \sqrt{2}(e_{12} - e_{51}) - (e_{37} - e_{46})$, $x_2 = \sqrt{2}(e_{13} - e_{61}) - (e_{45} - e_{27})$, $x_3 = \sqrt{2}(e_{17} - e_{41}) - (e_{53} - e_{62})$, $x_4 = e_{23} - e_{65}$, $x_5 = e_{42} - e_{57}$, $x_6 = e_{43} - e_{67}$. A customary notation for the elements x_1, \dots, x_6 is $g_1, g_2, g_{-3}, g_{1,-2}, g_{3,-1}, g_{3,-2}$, respectively. The table of Lie brackets is presented below:

	h_1	h_2	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6
h_1	0	0	$-x_1$	x_2	0	$2x_4$	$-x_5$	x_6
h_2	0	0	0	$-x_2$	$-x_3$	$-x_4$	$-x_5$	$-2x_6$
x_1	x_1	0	0	$2x_3$	$3x_5$	x_2	0	0
x_2	$-x_2$	x_2	$-2x_3$	0	$3x_6$	0	0	0
x_3	0	x_3	$-3x_5$	$-3x_6$	0	0	0	0
x_4	$-2x_4$	x_4	$-x_2$	0	0	0	$-x_6$	0
x_5	x_5	x_5	0	0	0	x_6	0	0
x_6	$-x_6$	$2x_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0

In the sequel we shall consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{b} defined by the table above, as a Lie algebra over an arbitrary field F of characteristic p where $p \neq 2, 3$ ($p = 0$ is considerable). $\{h_1, h_2\}$ generates the Cartan subalgebra and $\{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ generates the nil radical \mathfrak{n} .

Consider the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{n})$ as the polynomial algebra in the variables x_1, \dots, x_6 over F .

*Work is supported by ISF grant #170/12.

Denote $c_1 = x_6$, $c_2 = 3x_1x_6 - 3x_2x_5 + x_3^2$. It is easy to verify that $c_1, c_2 \in S(\mathfrak{n})^n$, that is, $\text{ad } x_i(c_j) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 6$, $j = 1, 2$.

Assume $p > 3$. We denote by $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ the polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ which generated over F by c_1 and the p -th powers x_i^p : $S_p(\mathfrak{n}) = F[x_1^p, x_2^p, x_3^p, x_4^p, x_5^p, c_1]$. Clearly, $S_p(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{n})^n$. Denote by $Q(A)$ the quotient field of a domain A . Then the field extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$ is of degree p because c_2 does not belong to $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ while c_2^p does. Consider the elements $v_2 = -\frac{1}{3}x_3$, $v_3 = \frac{1}{3}x_2$, $v_4 = x_5$, $v_5 = -x_4$. For each i, j such that $2 \leq i \leq j \leq 5$ we have $\text{ad } v_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}c_1$. Therefore, $x_2 \notin S(\mathfrak{n})^n[x_3, x_4, x_5]$, $x_3 \notin S(\mathfrak{n})^n[x_4, x_5]$, $x_4 \notin S(\mathfrak{n})^n[x_5]$, and of course $x_5 \notin S(\mathfrak{n})^n$. Together with the fact $x_i^p \in S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ for each i we deduce that the field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{n})^n[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5])/Q(S(\mathfrak{n})^n)$ is of degree p^4 . The field $Q(S(\mathfrak{n})) = F(x_1, \dots, x_6)$ is an extension of degree p^5 of $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$. By degree consideration $Q(S(\mathfrak{n})^n) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2])$, that is, the domains $S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$ have the same quotient field.

The goal is to prove equality of the rings: $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$. The ring extension $S(\mathfrak{n})^n/S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$ is integral. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$ is normal (integrally closed in its quotient field), equivalently, $S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$ satisfies (S_1) and (R_2) (see [8, p.183]). Consider the polynomial ring $R = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[t_2]$ of the one variable t_2 . The polynomial $f = t_2^p - c_2^p$ is a prime element of R thus, $S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2] \cong R/Rf$. From [5, 2.1.28] it follows that $S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, hence satisfies (S_1) . To prove (R_1) , we have to show that if P is an element of the singular locus of R such that $f \in P$, then $\text{ht } P > 2$. So let P be such a prime. $\partial f / \partial x_1^p = -3x_6^p$, $\partial f / \partial x_2^p = -3x_5^p$. Thus P contains the prime ideal $R(x_5^p, x_6^p, f)$ which is of height 3. We deduce that $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$. Finally, if $p = 0$ then $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2]$ by [2, section 3].

Let $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n})$. We shall use the same notation x_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$ for the basis of \mathfrak{n} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{n})$. The elements in $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ correspond to c_1, c_2 will respectively denoted by z_1, z_2 . So $z_1 = x_6$, $z_2 = 3x_1x_6 - 3x_2x_5 + x_3^2$. Suppose $p > 3$. The analogous polynomial ring to $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ in $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ is $Z_p(\mathfrak{n}) = F[x_1^p, x_2^p, x_3^p, x_4^p, x_5^p, z_1]$. $S(\mathfrak{n})$ is isomorphic to the graded algebra of $U(\mathfrak{n})$, and we set $S(\mathfrak{n}) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{n})$. In particular $c_i = \text{gr } z_i$, $x_i^p = \text{gr } x_i^p = (\text{gr } x_i)^p$. Therefore $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = \text{gr}(Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[z_2]) \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{n})$. The inclusion $\text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ is trivial. Since $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]$, from [3, p.180, Prop. 10(ii), section 2.9] we have $Z(\mathfrak{n}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[z_2]$.

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow Z(\mathfrak{n})$ be the F -algebra epimorphism defined by $\varphi(x_i^p) = x_i^p$, $\varphi(c_1) = z_1$, $\varphi(t_2) = z_2$. Obviously, $Rf \subseteq \ker \varphi$. Hence $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ is a homomorphic image of R/Rf . The rings $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ and R/Rf are both domains with equal Krull dimension, hence $Z(\mathfrak{n}) \cong R/Rf$. We deduce that $Z(\mathfrak{n}) \cong S(\mathfrak{n})^n$. The rings $Z(\mathfrak{n}), S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ are hypersurfaces.

If $p = 0$, we have $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2] = F[\text{gr } z_1, \text{gr } z_2] = \text{gr } F[z_1, z_2] \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{n})^n$. Therefore $Z(\mathfrak{n}) = F[z_1, z_2]$ and $Z(\mathfrak{n}) \cong S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ as polynomial algebras in two variables. We should remark here that an isomorphism $Z(\mathfrak{n}) \cong S(\mathfrak{n})^n$ where $p = 0$ is known [6, Proposition 4.8.12].

Let summarize the main results that presented until now:

1.1. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 3$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{n})^n = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{n})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p) \cong Z(\mathfrak{n}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[z_2].$$

In particular, $S(\mathfrak{n})^n, Z(\mathfrak{n})$ are hypersurfaces.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2] \cong Z(\mathfrak{n}) = F[z_1, z_2]$.*

In particular, $S(\mathfrak{n})^n, Z(\mathfrak{n})$ are polynomial rings in two variables.

Let $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the Poisson semi-center of $S(\mathfrak{b})$. Assume $p > 3$. The linear transformations $\text{ad } x_i, \text{ad } h_j : S(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{b})$ satisfy the split equation $X^p - X = 0$ over F . Together with the fact $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$, we have $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ (see [2, section 4]). Denote $S_p(\mathfrak{b}) = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[h_1^p, h_2^p]$. $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ is a polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{b})$. Clearly, $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2] \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$. The field extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b}))$ is of degree p . The field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, h_1, h_2])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}})$ is of degree p^6 . Indeed, as before, using the v_i 's we get that the field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}})$ is of degree p^4 . Now, $\text{ad } c_1(h_1) = -c_1$ while $\text{ad } c_1(x_i) = 0$, and $\text{ad } c_2(h_2) = 2c_2$ while $\text{ad } c_2(x_i) = \text{ad } c_2(h_1) = 0$. Therefore, the field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, h_1, h_2])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5])$ is of degree p^2 . The field $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})) = F(x_1, \dots, x_6, h_1, h_2)$ is an extension of degree p^7 of $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b}))$. By degree consideration $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2])$, that is, the domains $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2]$ have the same quotient field.

Identical arguments we applied to $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ yield $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p)$, where t_2 is transcendental over $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$. Also, if $p = 0$ then $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = F[c_1, c_2]$.

Let $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ be the semi-center of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. By [4, Proposition 2.1], $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is commutative. We shall use the same notation h_1, h_2 for the basis of the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{b} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. Assume $p > 3$. The analogous polynomial ring to $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ in $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is $Z_p(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2]$ (x_i^p and $h_j^p - h_j$ are central (weight 0) while z_1 is semi-central with non zero weight). $S(\mathfrak{b})$ is isomorphic to the graded algebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$, and we set $S(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{b})$. In particular $h_i^p = \text{gr}(h_i^p - h_i)$. Therefore $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = \text{gr}(Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2]) \subseteq \text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ (z_2 is clearly semi-central with non zero weight). The inclusion $\text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is trivial. Since $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2]$, from [3, p.180, Prop. 10(ii), section 2.9] we have $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2]$.

Let $\varphi : S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2] \rightarrow Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ be the F -algebra epimorphism defined by $\varphi(x_i^p) = x_i^p$, $\varphi(h_j^p) = h_j^p - h_j$, $\varphi(c_1) = z_1$, $\varphi(t_2) = z_2$. Obviously, $t_2^p - c_2^p \in \ker \varphi$. Hence $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is a homomorphic image of $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p)$. The rings $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p)$ are both domains with equal Krull dimension, hence $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p)$. We deduce that $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$. The rings $Sz(\mathfrak{b}), S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ are hypersurfaces.

If $p = 0$, we have $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[c_1, c_2] = F[\text{gr } z_1, \text{gr } z_2] = \text{gr } F[z_1, z_2] \subseteq \text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Therefore $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[z_1, z_2]$ and $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ as polynomial algebras in two variables. We should remark here that an isomorphism $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ where $p = 0$ and F is algebraically closed is known (see [9]).

Let summarize the results for the semi-centers:

1.2. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 3$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2]/(t_2^p - c_2^p) \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2].$$

The rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}, Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are hypersurfaces.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[c_1, c_2] \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[z_1, z_2]$.*

Therefore, $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z(\mathfrak{n})$ and the both rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}, Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are polynomial rings in two variables.

equipped with the semi-centers we can find the Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ of $S(\mathfrak{b})$ and the center $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ (reversing the approach in [2]).

Suppose $p > 3$. Clearly, $Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p, h_2^p]) \subseteq Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}})$. Since $\text{ad } h_2(c_2) = -c_2$, $\text{ad } h_1(c_2) =$

0, $\text{ad } h_1(c_1) = c_1$, the extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_1, c_2])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}})$ is of degree p^2 . But $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_1, c_2] \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2]$, and $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2])$ is of degree p^2 over $Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p, h_2^p])$. By degree consideration we must have $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}) = Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p, h_2^p])$, hence $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p, h_2^p]$. That is, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is generated over F by the p -th powers of the basis elements of \mathfrak{b} . For $p = 0$ we of course have $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F$ by [2, section 3].

Applying grading consideration one get $Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2]$ for $p > 3$ and $Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$ for $p = 0$.

So we have

1.3. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 3$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p, h_2^p] \cong Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_6^p, h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2],$$

and are polynomial rings in eight variables.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$.*

2. The F_4 case

The positive roots and a corresponding basis of a nil radical \mathfrak{n} of the Lie algebras of type F_4 , over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, is presented below (the list of positive roots is taken from [10, page 274]):

$$\begin{array}{llll}
(1, 0, 0, 0) & x_1 & = & a \\
(0, 1, 0, 0) & x_2 & = & b \\
(0, 0, 1, 0) & x_3 & = & c \\
(0, 0, 0, 1) & x_4 & = & d \\
(1, 1, 0, 0) & x_5 & = & [x_1, x_2] = [a, b] \\
(0, 1, 1, 0) & x_6 & = & [x_2, x_3] = [b, c] \\
(0, 0, 1, 1) & x_7 & = & [x_3, x_4] = [c, d] \\
(1, 1, 1, 0) & x_8 & = & [x_1, x_6] = [a, [b, c]] \\
(0, 1, 2, 0) & x_9 & = & [x_3, x_6] = [c, [b, c]] \\
(0, 1, 1, 1) & x_{10} & = & [x_2, x_7] = [b, [c, d]] \\
(1, 1, 2, 0) & x_{11} & = & [x_1, x_9] = [a, [c, [b, c]]] \\
(1, 1, 1, 1) & x_{12} & = & [x_1, x_{10}] = [a, [b, [c, d]]] \\
(0, 1, 2, 1) & x_{13} & = & [x_3, x_{10}] = [c, [b, [c, d]]] \\
(1, 2, 2, 0) & x_{14} & = & [x_2, x_{11}] = [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]] \\
(1, 1, 2, 1) & x_{15} & = & [x_1, x_{13}] = [a, [c, [b, [c, d]]]] \\
(0, 1, 2, 2) & x_{16} & = & [x_4, x_{13}] = [d, [c, [b, [c, d]]]] \\
(1, 2, 2, 1) & x_{17} & = & [x_4, x_{14}] = [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]] \\
(1, 1, 2, 2) & x_{18} & = & [x_1, x_{16}] = [a, [d, [c, [b, [c, d]]]]] \\
(1, 2, 3, 1) & x_{19} & = & [x_3, x_{17}] = [c, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]] \\
(1, 2, 2, 2) & x_{20} & = & [x_4, x_{17}] = [d, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]] \\
(1, 2, 3, 2) & x_{21} & = & [x_4, x_{19}] = [d, [c, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]]] \\
(1, 2, 4, 2) & x_{22} & = & [x_3, x_{21}] = [c, [d, [c, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]]]] \\
(1, 3, 4, 2) & x_{23} & = & [x_2, x_{22}] = [b, [c, [d, [c, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]]]]] \\
(2, 3, 4, 2) & x_{24} & = & [x_1, x_{23}] = [a, [b, [c, [d, [c, [d, [b, [a, [c, [b, c]]]]]]]]]]]
\end{array}$$

Cartan matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}$$

A fixed basis of the Cartan subalgebra: h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 .

The Borel subalgebra generated by the h_i 's and the x_j 's will denoted by \mathfrak{b} .

The table of Lie brackets is presented below:

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_8	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}
h_1	$2x_1$	$-x_2$	0	0	x_5	$-x_6$	0	x_8	$-x_9$	$-x_{10}$	x_{11}	x_{12}
h_2	$-x_1$	$2x_2$	$-x_3$	0	x_5	x_6	$-x_7$	0	0	x_{10}	$-x_{11}$	0
h_3	0	$-2x_2$	$2x_3$	$-x_4$	$-2x_5$	0	x_7	0	$2x_9$	$-x_{10}$	$2x_{11}$	$-x_{12}$
h_4	0	0	$-x_3$	$2x_4$	0	$-x_6$	x_7	$-x_8$	$-2x_9$	x_{10}	$-2x_{11}$	x_{12}
x_1	0	x_5	0	0	0	x_8	0	0	x_{11}	x_{12}	0	0
x_2	$-x_5$	0	x_6	0	0	0	x_{10}	0	0	0	x_{14}	0
x_3	0	$-x_6$	0	x_7	$-x_8$	x_9	0	x_{11}	0	x_{13}	0	x_{15}
x_4	0	0	$-x_7$	0	0	$-x_{10}$	0	$-x_{12}$	$-2x_{13}$	0	$-2x_{15}$	0
x_5	0	0	x_8	0	0	0	x_{12}	0	$-x_{14}$	0	0	0
x_6	$-x_8$	0	$-x_9$	x_{10}	0	0	$-x_{13}$	x_{14}	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$
x_7	0	$-x_{10}$	0	0	$-x_{12}$	x_{13}	0	x_{15}	0	$-x_{16}$	0	$-x_{18}$
x_8	0	0	$-x_{11}$	x_{12}	0	$-x_{14}$	$-x_{15}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$	0	0
x_9	$-x_{11}$	0	0	$2x_{13}$	x_{14}	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-x_{19}$
x_{10}	$-x_{12}$	0	$-x_{13}$	0	0	0	x_{16}	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$	0	0	$-x_{19}$	$\frac{1}{2}x_{20}$
x_{11}	0	$-x_{14}$	0	$2x_{15}$	0	0	0	0	0	x_{19}	0	0
x_{12}	0	0	$-x_{15}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$	x_{18}	0	x_{19}	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{20}$	0	0
x_{13}	$-x_{15}$	0	0	$-x_{16}$	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{19}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{21}$
x_{14}	0	0	0	$-x_{17}$	0	0	$-x_{19}$	0	0	0	0	0
x_{15}	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{17}$	0	$-x_{18}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{19}$	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{21}$	0	0
x_{16}	$-x_{18}$	0	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x_{20}$	0	0	x_{21}	0	0	x_{22}	0
x_{17}	0	0	$-x_{19}$	$-x_{20}$	0	0	$-x_{21}$	0	0	0	0	0
x_{18}	0	$\frac{1}{2}x_{20}$	0	0	0	$-x_{21}$	0	0	$-x_{22}$	0	0	0
x_{19}	0	0	0	$-x_{21}$	0	0	$-x_{22}$	0	0	$-x_{23}$	0	$-x_{24}$
x_{20}	0	0	$-2x_{21}$	0	0	0	0	0	$2x_{23}$	0	$2x_{24}$	0
x_{21}	0	0	$-x_{22}$	0	0	$-x_{23}$	0	$-x_{24}$	0	0	0	0
x_{22}	0	$-x_{23}$	0	0	$-x_{24}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x_{23}	$-x_{24}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
x_{24}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

In the sequel we shall consider \mathfrak{b} as a Lie algebra over an arbitrary field F of characteristic p where $p \neq 2$ ($p = 0$ is considerable).

Consider the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{n})$ as the polynomial algebra in the variables x_1, \dots, x_{24} over F . We shall expos four invariants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and a subset $\{v_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, 24\} \setminus \{2, 9, 16, 24\}\}$ of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ such that

$$\text{ad } v_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i < j \\ c_1 & i = j \notin \{3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13\} \\ c_2 & i = j \in \{4, 7, 10, 13\} \\ c_3 & i = j \in \{3, 6\} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for $i \leq j$ and $i, j \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}$.

Denote $c_1 = x_{24}$, $c_2 = 2x_{16}x_{24} - 2x_{18}x_{23} - x_{20}x_{22} + x_{21}^2$. It is easy to verify that $c_1, c_2 \in S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$, that is, $\text{ad } x_i(c_j) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 24$, $j = 1, 2$.

Denote $v_4 = -2x_{13}x_{24} + 2x_{15}x_{23} + x_{17}x_{22} - x_{19}x_{21}$. Then $\text{ad } x_4(v_4) = -c_2$, $\text{ad } x_i(v_4) = 0$ for $i \neq 4$. Denote $u_9 = x_9x_{24} - x_{11}x_{23} + x_{14}x_{22} - \frac{1}{2}x_{19}^2$. Then $\text{ad } x_4(u_9) = v_4$, $\text{ad } x_i(u_9) = 0$ for $i \neq 4$. It follows that the element $c_3 = c_2u_9 + \frac{1}{2}v_4^2$ is invariant. That is, $c_3 \in S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Denote $v_7 = 2x_{10}x_{24} - 2x_{12}x_{23} + x_{19}x_{20} - x_{17}x_{21}$. Then $\text{ad } x_3(v_7) = -v_4$, $\text{ad } x_7(v_7) = -c_2$, $\text{ad } x_i(v_7) = 0$ for $i \neq 3, 7$. Denote $u_6 = x_6x_{24} - x_8x_{23} + x_{14}x_{21} - \frac{1}{2}x_{17}x_{19}$. Then $\text{ad } x_3(u_6) = -u_9$, $\text{ad } x_4(u_6) = -\frac{1}{2}v_7$, $\text{ad } x_7(u_6) = -\frac{1}{2}v_4$, $\text{ad } x_i(u_6) = 0$ for $i \neq 3, 4, 7$. Denote $v_3 = -c_2u_6 + \frac{1}{2}v_4v_7$. We have $\text{ad } x_3(v_3) = -c_3$, $\text{ad } x_i(v_3) = 0$ for $i \neq 3$. Denote $u_2 = x_2x_{24} - x_5x_{23} - \frac{1}{2}x_{14}x_{20} + \frac{1}{4}x_{17}^2$. We have $\text{ad } x_3(u_2) = -u_6$, $\text{ad } x_7(u_2) = -\frac{1}{2}v_7$, $\text{ad } x_i(u_2) = 0$ for $i \neq 3, 7$. Denote $w_3 = u_9v_7 + u_6v_4$. Then $\text{ad } x_4(w_3) = v_3$, $\text{ad } x_7(w_3) = -c_3$, $\text{ad } x_i(w_3) = 0$ for $i \neq 4, 7$. It follows that the element $c_4 = -u_2c_3 + \frac{1}{2}u_6v_3 + \frac{1}{4}v_7w_3$ is invariant. That is, $c_4 \in S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$.

We have then four homogeneous invariants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and elements v_3, v_4, v_7 . We shall define the remaining v_i .

$v_{23} = x_1$, $v_{22} = x_5$, $v_{21} = x_8$, $v_{20} = -\frac{1}{2}x_{11}$, $v_{19} = x_{12}$, $v_{18} = -x_{14}$, $v_{17} = -x_{15}$, $v_{15} = x_{17}$, $v_{14} = x_{18}$, $v_{12} = -x_{19}$, $v_{11} = \frac{1}{2}x_{20}$, $v_8 = x_{21}$, $v_5 = -x_{22}$, $v_1 = -x_{23}$.
 $v_{13} = 2x_4x_{24} - 2x_{17}x_{18} + 2x_{15}x_{20} - 2x_{12}x_{21}$.
 $v_{10} = -2x_7x_{24} + 2x_{18}x_{19} + 2x_{12}x_{22} - 2x_{15}x_{21}$. Note that $\text{ad } x_2(v_{10}) = -v_7$, $\text{ad } x_6(v_{10}) = -v_4$, $\text{ad } x_{10}(v_{10}) = -c_2$, $\text{ad } x_i(v_{10}) = 0$ for $i \neq 2, 6, 10$.

Let $u_3 = -x_3x_{24} - x_{11}x_{21} + x_8x_{22} - x_{15}x_{19}$. Then $\text{ad } x_2(u_3) = -u_6$, $\text{ad } x_4(u_3) = -\frac{1}{2}v_{10}$, $\text{ad } x_6(u_3) = u_9$, $\text{ad } x_{10}(u_3) = -\frac{1}{2}v_4$, $\text{ad } x_i(u_3) = 0$ for $i \neq 2, 4, 6, 10$. Finally $v_6 = -c_2u_3 + \frac{1}{2}v_4v_{10}$.

Assume $p > 2$. We denote by $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ the polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ which generated over F by c_1 and the p -th powers x_i^p : $S_p(\mathfrak{n}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{23}^p, c_1]$. Clearly, $S_p(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$. Denote by $Q(A)$ the quotient field of a domain A . The field extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$ is of degree p^3 . Indeed, $\text{ad } h_4(c_1) = 0$ and $\text{ad } h_4(c_2) = 2c_2$, thus $c_2 \notin Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$. Since $c_2^p \in Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$ we deduce $[Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2]) : Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))] = p$. Also, $\text{ad } h_3(c_1) = \text{ad } h_3(c_2) = 0$ and $\text{ad } h_3(c_3) = 2c_3$ (since $\text{ad } h_3(u_9) = 2u_9$, $\text{ad } h_3(v_4) = v_4$), thus $c_3 \notin Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2])$. Since $c_3^p \in Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2])$ we have $[Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3]) : Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2])] = p$. Now, $\text{ad } h_2(c_1) = \text{ad } h_2(c_2) = 0$, $\text{ad } h_2(c_3) = 0$ (since $\text{ad } h_2(u_9) = \text{ad } h_2(v_4) = 0$) and $\text{ad } h_2(c_4) = 2c_4$ (since $\text{ad } h_2(u_2) = 2u_2$, $\text{ad } h_2(u_6) = u_6$, $\text{ad } h_2(v_3) = v_3$, $\text{ad } h_2(v_7) = v_7$, $\text{ad } h_2(w_3) = w_3$), thus $c_4 \notin Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3])$. Since $c_4^p \in Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3])$ we deduce $[Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]) : Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3])] = p$. The extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{n}))$ is therefore of degree p^3 as required.

Using (1), similar considerations yield that the extension $Q(S(\mathbf{n})^n[x_i \mid i \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}])/Q(S(\mathbf{n})^n)$ is of degree p^{20} . The field $Q(S(\mathbf{n})) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{24})$ is an extension of degree p^{23} of $Q(S_p(\mathbf{n}))$. By degree consideration $Q(S(\mathbf{n})^n) = Q(S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4])$, that is, the domains $S(\mathbf{n})^n$ and $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ have the same quotient field.

The goal is to prove equality of the rings: $S(\mathbf{n})^n = S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$. The ring $S(\mathbf{n})^n$ is integral over $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ is normal (integrally closed in its quotient field), equivalently, $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ satisfies (S_1) and (R_2) (see [8, p.183]). Consider the polynomial ring $R = S_p(\mathbf{n})[t_2, t_3, t_4]$ in the variables t_2, t_3, t_4 , and its elements $f_i = t_i^p - c_i^p$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. We have $R/(f_2) \cong S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, t_3, t_4]$, $R/(f_2, f_3) \cong S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, t_4]$ and $R/(f_2, f_3, f_4) \cong S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ (we use [1, Lemma 1.15]). Hence, f_2, f_3, f_4 form an R -sequence. $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ is therefore a complete intersection ring (see [2, 1.11]). Moreover, from [5, 2.1.28] it follows that $S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, hence satisfies (S_1) . To prove (R_1) , we have to show that if P is an element of the singular locus of R such that $f_2, f_3, f_4 \in P$, then $\text{ht } P > 4$. So let P be such a prime. We have

$$\det(\partial(f_2, f_3, f_4)/\partial(x_{16}^p, x_9^p, x_2^p)) = 2c_1^{3p}c_2^pc_3^p, \quad \det(\partial(f_2, f_3, f_4)/\partial(x_{16}^p, x_9^p, x_6^p)) = -2c_1^{3p}c_2^pv_3^p,$$

$$\det(\partial(f_2, f_3, f_4)/\partial(x_{16}^p, x_{13}^p, x_2^p)) = -4c_1^{3p}v_4^pc_3^p, \quad \det(\partial(f_2, f_3, f_4)/\partial(x_{18}^p, x_{15}^p, x_8^p)) = -4x_{23}^{3p}v_4^pv_8^p.$$

Therefore, P contains two elements $a, b \in \{c_1^p, x_{23}^p, c_2^p, v_4^p, c_3^p, v_3^p\} \subseteq S_p(\mathbf{n})$ hence contains the prime ideal (a, b, f_2, f_3, f_4) which is of height 5. We deduce that $S(\mathbf{n})^n = S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$. Finally, if $p = 0$ then $S(\mathbf{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4]$ by [2, section 3].

Let $Z(\mathbf{n})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathbf{n})$. We shall use the same notation x_i , $i = 1, \dots, 24$ for the basis of \mathbf{n} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathbf{n})$. The elements in $Z(\mathbf{n})$ correspond to c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 will respectively denoted by z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 . Suppose $p > 2$. The analogous polynomial ring to $S_p(\mathbf{n})$ in $Z(\mathbf{n})$ is $Z_p(\mathbf{n}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{23}^p, z_1]$. $S(\mathbf{n})$ is isomorphic to the graded algebra of $U(\mathbf{n})$, and we set $S(\mathbf{n}) = \text{gr } U(\mathbf{n})$. In particular $c_i = \text{gr } z_i$, $x_i^p = \text{gr } x_i^p = (\text{gr } x_i)^p$. Therefore $S(\mathbf{n})^n = \text{gr}(Z_p(\mathbf{n})[z_2, z_3, z_4]) \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathbf{n})$. The inclusion $\text{gr } Z(\mathbf{n}) \subseteq S(\mathbf{n})^n$ is trivial. Since $S(\mathbf{n})^n = S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$, from [3, p.180, Prop. 10(ii), section 2.9] we have $Z(\mathbf{n}) = Z_p(\mathbf{n})[z_2, z_3, z_4]$.

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{n})$ be the F -algebra epimorphism defined by $\varphi(x_i^p) = x_i^p$, $\varphi(c_1) = z_1$, $\varphi(t_i) = z_i$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. Obviously, $Rf \subseteq \ker \varphi$. Hence $Z(\mathbf{n})$ is a homomorphic image of R/Rf . The rings $Z(\mathbf{n})$ and R/Rf are both domains with equal Krull dimension, hence $Z(\mathbf{n}) \cong R/Rf$. We deduce that $Z(\mathbf{n}) \cong S(\mathbf{n})^n$. The rings $Z(\mathbf{n}), S(\mathbf{n})^n$ are complete intersection.

If $p = 0$, we have $S(\mathbf{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] = F[\text{gr } z_1, \text{gr } z_2, \text{gr } z_3, \text{gr } z_4] = \text{gr } F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathbf{n})$ and $\text{gr } Z(\mathbf{n}) \subseteq S(\mathbf{n})^n$. Therefore $Z(\mathbf{n}) = F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]$ and $Z(\mathbf{n}) \cong S(\mathbf{n})^n$ as polynomial algebras in four variables. We should remark here that an isomorphism $Z(\mathbf{n}) \cong S(\mathbf{n})^n$ where $p = 0$ is known [6, Proposition 4.8.12].

Let summarize the main results that presented until now:

2.1. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. Then*

$$S(\mathbf{n})^n = S_p(\mathbf{n})[c_2, c_3, c_4] \cong S_p(\mathbf{n})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p) \cong Z(\mathbf{n}) = Z_p(\mathbf{n})[z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

In particular, $S(\mathbf{n})^n, Z(\mathbf{n})$ are complete intersection rings.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathbf{n})^n = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] \cong Z(\mathbf{n}) = F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]$.*

In particular, $S(\mathbf{n})^n, Z(\mathbf{n})$ are polynomial rings in four variables.

Let $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the Poisson semi-center of $S(\mathfrak{b})$. Assume $p > 2$. The linear transformations $\text{ad } x_i, \text{ad } h_j : S(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{b})$ satisfy the split equation $X^p - X = 0$ over F . Together with the fact $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$, we have $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ (see [2, section 4]). Denote $S_p(\mathfrak{b}) = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p]$. $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ is a polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{b})$. Clearly, $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4] \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$. The field extension $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4])/Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b}))$ is of degree p^3 . The field extension

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{x_i \mid i \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}\} \cup \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}})$$

is of degree p^{24} . Indeed, as before, using (1) we get that the field extension

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_i \mid i \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}})$$

is of degree p^{20} . Now, $\text{ad } c_1(h_1) = -c_1$ while $\text{ad } c_1(x_i) = 0$, $\text{ad } c_4(h_2) = -2c_4$ while $\text{ad } c_4(x_i) = \text{ad } c_4(h_1) = 0$, $\text{ad } c_3(h_3) = -2c_3$ while $\text{ad } c_3(x_i) = \text{ad } c_3(h_1) = \text{ad } c_3(h_2) = 0$, $\text{ad } c_2(h_4) = -2c_2$ while $\text{ad } c_2(x_i) = \text{ad } c_2(h_1) = \text{ad } c_2(h_2) = \text{ad } c_2(h_3) = 0$. Therefore, the field extension

$$Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[\{x_i \mid i \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}\} \cup \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4\}])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}[x_i \mid i \notin \{2, 9, 16, 24\}])$$

is of degree p^4 , as required.

The field $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{24}, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4)$ is an extension of degree p^{27} of $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b}))$. By degree consideration $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}) = Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4])$, that is, the domains $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ have the same quotient field.

Identical arguments we applied to $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$ yield

$$S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p),$$

where t_2, t_3, t_4 are algebraically independent over $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$. Also, if $p = 0$ then $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4]$.

Let $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ be the semi-center of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. By [4, Proposition 2.1], $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is commutative. We shall use the same notation h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 for the basis of the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{b} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. Assume $p > 2$. The analogous polynomial ring to $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ in $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is $Z_p(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2, h_3^p - h_3, h_4^p - h_4]$ (x_i^p and $h_j^p - h_j$ are central (weight 0) while z_1 is semi-central with non zero weight). $S(\mathfrak{b})$ is isomorphic to the graded algebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$, and we set $S(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{gr } U(\mathfrak{b})$. In particular $h_i^p = \text{gr}(h_i^p - h_i)$. Therefore $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{n}} = \text{gr}(Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2, z_3, z_4]) \subseteq \text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ (z_2, z_3 and z_4 are clearly semi-central with non zero weight). The inclusion $\text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is trivial. Since $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$, from [3, p.180, Prop.10(ii), section 2.9] we have $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2, z_3, z_4]$.

Let $\varphi : S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4] \rightarrow Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ be the F -algebra epimorphism defined by $\varphi(x_i^p) = x_i^p$, $\varphi(h_j^p) = h_j^p - h_j$, $\varphi(c_1) = z_1$, $\varphi(t_i) = z_i$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. Obviously, $t_i^p - c_i^p \in \ker \varphi$ for all $i = 2, 3, 4$. Hence $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ is a homomorphic image of $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p)$. The rings $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ and $S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p)$ are both domains with equal Krull dimension, hence $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p)$. We deduce that $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$. The rings $Sz(\mathfrak{b}), S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ are complete intersection.

If $p = 0$, we have $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] = F[\text{gr } z_1, \text{gr } z_2, \text{gr } z_3, \text{gr } z_4] = \text{gr } F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] \subseteq \text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\text{gr } Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$. Therefore $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]$ and $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ as polynomial algebras in four variables. We should remark here that an isomorphism $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) \cong S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ where $p = 0$ and F is algebraically closed is known [9].

Let summarize the results for the semi-centers:

2.2. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, t_3, t_4]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, t_3^p - c_3^p, t_4^p - c_4^p) \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

The rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are complete intersection.

b. *For $p = 0$,*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

Therefore, $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z(\mathfrak{n})$ and the both rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are polynomial rings in four variables.

equipped with the semi-centers we can find the Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ of $S(\mathfrak{b})$ and the center $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ (reversing the approach in [2]).

Suppose $p > 2$. Clearly, $Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p]) \subseteq Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}})$. The field extension $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4])/Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}})$ is of degree p^4 . But $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}[c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4] \subseteq S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4]$, and $Q(S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, c_3, c_4])$ is of degree p^4 over $Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p])$. By degree consideration we must have $Q(S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}) = Q(F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p])$, hence $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p]$. That is, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is generated over F by the p -th powers of the basis elements of \mathfrak{b} . For $p = 0$ we of course have $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F$ by [2, section 3].

Applying grading consideration one get $Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2, h_3^p - h_3, h_4^p - h_4]$ for $p > 2$ and $Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$ for $p = 0$.

So we have

2.3. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p, h_2^p, h_3^p, h_4^p] \cong Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F[x_1^p, \dots, x_{24}^p, h_1^p - h_1, h_2^p - h_2, h_3^p - h_3, h_4^p - h_4],$$

and are polynomial rings in 28 variables.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$.*

3. The C_n case

In this case the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} is of dimension $n^2 + n$ over F , where F is an arbitrary field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ ($p = 0$ is considerable). Its nil radical \mathfrak{n} is of dimension n^2 over F consisting of $2n \times 2n$ matrices with its standard basis $e_{i,j} - e_{n+j,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$), $e_{i,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $e_{i,n+j} + e_{j,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$). The standard basis of the Cartan subalgebra is $h_i = e_{i,i} - e_{n+i,n+i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

For each positive integer l and for each $s = 1, \dots, l$, let

$$\mathfrak{r}_l(s) = l - s + 1.$$

We arrange the standard basis of \mathfrak{n} in a $2n \times 2n$ matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]$ over the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{n})$, symmetrically with respect to its anti-diagonal, in the following way: for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$,

$$m_{i,j} = e_{i,j} - e_{n+j,n+i} \quad (i \neq j).$$

$$m_{i,r_{2n}(j)} = e_{i,n+j} + e_{j,n+i}.$$

$$m_{j,r_{2n}(i)} = m_{i,r_{2n}(j)}.$$

$$m_{r_{2n}(j),r_{2n}(i)} = m_{i,j}.$$

Finally, set zeros in the remaining entries.

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote by C_i the i -th right upper block of the matrix M and by c_i its determinant;

$$c_i = \det(C_i) = \begin{vmatrix} m_{1,r_{2n}(i)} & m_{1,r_{2n}(i-1)} & \cdots & m_{1,r_{2n}(1)} \\ m_{2,r_{2n}(i)} & m_{2,r_{2n}(i-1)} & \cdots & m_{2,r_{2n}(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{i,r_{2n}(i)} & m_{i,r_{2n}(i-1)} & \cdots & m_{i,r_{2n}(1)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Assume $p > 2$. We denote by $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ the polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ which generated over F by c_1 and the p -th powers of the standard basis of \mathfrak{n} . Let t_2, \dots, t_n be algebraically independent over $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$. The Poisson center of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ is denoted by $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$. The center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n})$ will denoted by $Z(\mathfrak{n})$. We shall use the same notation for the standard basis of \mathfrak{n} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{n})$. The elements in $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ correspond to $c_1 \dots, c_n$ will respectively denoted by z_1, \dots, z_n . The analogous polynomial ring to $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ in $Z(\mathfrak{n})$ will denoted by $Z_p(\mathfrak{n})$. Thus $Z_p(\mathfrak{n})$ is generated over F by z_1 and the p -th powers of the standard basis of \mathfrak{n} . From [1, sections 2,5] we have

3.1. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}} = S_p(\mathfrak{n})[c_2, \dots, c_n] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{n})[t_2, \dots, t_n]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, \dots, t_n^p - c_n^p) \cong Z(\mathfrak{n}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{n})[z_2, \dots, z_n].$$

In particular, $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}, Z(\mathfrak{n})$ are complete intersection rings.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}} = F[c_1, \dots, c_n] \cong Z(\mathfrak{n}) = F[z_1, \dots, z_n]$.*

In particular, $S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}, Z(\mathfrak{n})$ are polynomial rings in n variables.

Let $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the Poisson semi-center of $S(\mathfrak{b})$. Assume $p > 2$. Let $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$ be the polynomial subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{b})$ which generated over $S_p(\mathfrak{n})$ by h_1^p, \dots, h_n^p . Let t_2, \dots, t_n be algebraically independent over $S_p(\mathfrak{b})$. The semi-center of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ will denoted by $Sz(\mathfrak{b})$. We shall use the same notation h_1, \dots, h_n for the standard basis of the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{b} , consider it as a Lie subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b})$. Let $Z_p(\mathfrak{b})$ be the polynomial subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ which generated over $Z_p(\mathfrak{n})$ by $h_i^p - h_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Identical arguments precede theorems 2.2, 2.3, combining calculations in [1, Proposition 2.11 and Theorem 2.17] yield the following results:

3.2. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. Then*

$$S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S_p(\mathfrak{b})[c_2, \dots, c_n] \cong S_p(\mathfrak{b})[t_2, \dots, t_n]/(t_2^p - c_2^p, \dots, t_n^p - c_n^p) \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{b})[z_2, \dots, z_n].$$

The rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}, Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are complete intersection.

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = F[c_1, \dots, c_n] \cong Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = F[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Therefore, $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}} = S(\mathfrak{n})^{\mathfrak{n}}$, $Sz(\mathfrak{b}) = Z(\mathfrak{n})$ and the both rings $S(\mathfrak{b})_{\text{si}}^{\mathfrak{b}}, Sz(\mathfrak{b})$ are polynomial rings in n variables.*

3.3. Theorem. a. *Suppose $p > 2$. The Poisson center $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ coincides with the p -center, that is, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is generated over F by the p -th powers of the standard basis elements of \mathfrak{b} . The center $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ coincides with the extended p -center, that is, $Z(\mathfrak{b})$ is generated over F by the p -th powers of the standard basis elements of \mathfrak{n} and $h_i^p - h_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} \cong Z(\mathfrak{b})$ as polynomial rings in $n^2 + n$ variables.*

b. *For $p = 0$, $S(\mathfrak{b})^{\mathfrak{b}} = Z(\mathfrak{b}) = F$.*

References

- [1] Oz Ben-Shimol, *On Dixmier-Duflo isomorphism in positive characteristic - The classical nilpotent case*. J. Algebra 382 (2013) 203-239.
- [2] Oz Ben-Shimol, *Centers associated with the Borel subalgebra of the general linear Lie algebra*. arXiv:1401.0755.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*.
- [4] A. Braun & G. Vernik, *On the center and Semi-center of enveloping algebras in prime characteristic*. Journal of Algebra 322 (2009), pp. 1830-1858.
- [5] J. Bruns & J. Herzog, *Cohen Macaulay rings*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [6] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol.II. AMS 1996.
- [7] James E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Springer-Verlag 1972.
- [8] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 8, Cambridge University Press 1986.
- [9] R. Rentschler & M. Vergne, *Sur le semi-centre du corps enveloppant d'une algèbre de Lie*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 6 (1973), pp. 389-405.
- [10] P. Tauvel & R.W.T. Yu, *Lie algebras and Algebraic Groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics 2005.